

PATENT RESPONSE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICERECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 20 2005

Application No.: 09/828,069  
 Filing Date: April 5, 2001  
 Inventor: Dustin M. Davis and Jane R. Garrison  
 Title: Method and System for Interacting with a Biometric Verification System  
 Examiner: Tremayne M. Norris  
 Art Unit: 2137  
 Attorney Docket: BAC-32568 (19620.0002)  
 Confirmation No.: 7258  
 Customer No.: 022202

**CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10**

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

**Mailing**

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**37 CFR 1.8(a)**  **37 CFR 1.10**  
 with sufficient postage as first class mail.  As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No.

**Transmission**

transmitted by facsimile to Fax No. (703) 872-9306 addressed to Examiner Tremayne M. Norris at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: 1-20-05



Mail Stop Amendment  
 Commissioner for Patents  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Dear Sir:

Introductory Comments begin on:	page 2 of 22
Amended Claims begin on:	page 3 of 22
Remarks begin on:	page 19 of 22
Conclusion begins on:	page 21 of 22
Extension of Time begins on:	page 22 of 22

USSN 09/828,069

PATENT RESPONSE

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

After careful review, Applicant hereby responds to a December 20, 2004 Restriction Requirement regarding the above-referenced patent application. In view of this Response, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Applicant has not added new matter with this Response and intends the scope of the invention and claims to be the same before and after this Response. Indeed, Applicant only offers this Response to clarify the invention for the Examiner and to assist the Examiner's understanding of the same. More specifically, Applicant has not intended this Response to effectuate a narrowing of the claims, foreclose techniques that are not reasonably foreseeable at this time, or effect the applicability and scope of the Doctrine of Equivalents.